01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
07	AT SEATTLE	
08	JAMES ALLEN KINNEY,) CASE NO.: C08-0489-RAJ-MAT
09	Petitioner,)
10	V.	ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF
11	JEFFREY A. UTTECHT,	TIME; DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION TO VACATE SENTENCE
12	Respondent.)
13		,
14	Petitioner is a Washington state prisoner who has filed a pro se habeas petition, to which	
15	respondent has filed an answer. Petitioner has recently filed a motion for an extension of time and	
16	also a motion to vacate his sentence. (Dkt. Nos. 33 & 34). Having reviewed the motions, and the	
17	balance of the record, the Court does hereby find and ORDER as follows:	
18	(1) In his motion for extension of time, petitioner states that he has recently been	
19	transferred to a new prison and requires more time to gain access to the law library and typewriter.	
20	In recognition of these needs and of petitioner's pro se status, the Court GRANTS petitioner's	
21	motion for an extension of time. Petitioner shall file a response to respondent's answer no later	
22	than September 15, 2008. No further extensions will be granted absent extraordinary	
	ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME; DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION TO VACATE SENTENCE PAGE -1	

circumstances. The Clerk shall RENOTE petitioner's habeas petition for consideration by the Court on September 19, 2008. 02 03 Petitioner's motion to vacate his sentence appears to be based upon petitioner's (2) allegation that prison officials have tampered with his mail. (Dkt. No. 34). As the Court 05 previously advised petitioner, the present habeas action is not the proper means to raise such a 06 concern. Rather, the proper course of action would be to file an action under 42 U.S.C. §1983 alleging a constitutional violation. See Lewis v. Casey, 518 U.S. 343, 354-55 (1996) (describing kind of injury required to state a claim of a violation of a prisoner's right of access to the courts). 09 Because the Court may not consider the allegations presented by the motion here, petitioner's 10 motion (Dkt. No. 34) is DENIED. 11 (3) The Clerk shall forward a copy of this Order to petitioner, to counsel for 12 respondent, and to the Honorable Richard A. Jones. 13 DATED this 26th day of August, 2008. 14 15 United States Magistrate Judge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME; DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION TO VACATE SENTENCE

PAGE -2